

# Crash course in products of groups $K$ and $L$

- **direct product**  $K \times L = \{(k, l) \mid k \in K, l \in L\}$

unit  $(e, e)$

inverse  $(k, l)^{-1} = (k^{-1}, l^{-1})$

product  $(k_1, l_1) \cdot (k_2, l_2) = (k_1 k_2, l_1 l_2)$

Example:  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} = \{(a, b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  group w.r.t component-wise addition

- can one put any other group structures on the set  $K \times L$ ?

Yes! **semidirect product**  $K \rtimes L = \{(k, l) \mid k \in K, l \in L\}$

unit  $(e, e)$

inverse  $(k, l)^{-1} = (? , l^{-1})$

product  $(k_1, l_1) \cdot (k_2, l_2) = (? , l_1 l_2)$

Above, ? and ? are heavily constrained by group axioms

The most general construction is to assume you are given

$$L \xrightarrow{\Phi} K \quad \{\Phi_l : K \rightarrow K \text{ automorphism}\}_{\forall l \in L}$$

and set  $? = \Phi_{l^{-1}}(k^{-1})$

$$? = \Phi_{l_1}(k_2)$$

Thus, for every action  $\Phi$   $\exists$  a semi-direct product  $K \rtimes L$

check associativity axiom:

$$\begin{aligned} ((k_1, l_1)(k_2, l_2))(k_3, l_3) &= (k_1 \Phi_{l_1}(k_2), l_1 l_2)(k_3, l_3) \\ &= \underline{(k_1 \Phi_{l_1}(k_2) \Phi_{l_1 l_2}(k_3), l_1 l_2 l_3)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (k_1, l_1)((k_2, l_2)(k_3, l_3)) &= (k_1, l_1)(k_2 \Phi_{l_2}(k_3), l_2 l_3) \\ &= \underline{(k_1 \Phi_{l_1}(k_2 \Phi_{l_2}(k_3)), l_1 l_2 l_3)} \end{aligned}$$

The equality of red and blue uses both the fact that  $\Phi$  is an action and that it is by automorphisms, i.e.  $\Phi_e(xy) = \Phi_e(x)\Phi_e(y)$

Example:  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  by automorphism  $k \mapsto -k$

Check (or ask on forum) that  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong D_{2n}$

## Short exact sequences of groups

(suite exacte courte)

Def: given groups  $K, L, G$ , a s.e.s.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{trivial group} & \longleftarrow & 1 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{f} & G & \xrightarrow{g} & L & \longrightarrow & 1 & \longleftarrow & \text{trivial group} \end{array}$$

is the datum of two homomorphisms  $f, g$  as above

s.t. •  $f$  is injective

•  $g$  is surjective

•  $\text{Im } f = \text{Ker } g$  (which implies  $g \circ f = \text{trivial}$ )

$\text{Ker } g$

$$K \cong H \trianglelefteq G$$

$$L \cong G/\text{Ker } g = G/H$$

existence of a s.e.s

$\implies$

$\implies$

As a consequence, we call  $G$  an **extension** of  $L$  by  $K$

(meaning: existence of a s.e.s. implies that  $G$  is bijective to  $K \times L$  as sets; however extending this to a description of the group structure of  $G$  from that of  $K$  and  $L$  is tricky)

$$\text{Ex: (let } m, n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 1$$

$f = \text{multiplication by } n$

$g = \text{remainder mod } n$

$$\text{Ker } g = \{i \in \{0, \dots, mn-1\} \text{ s.t. } n \mid i\} = \{0, n, 2n, \dots, (m-1)n\} = \text{Im } f$$

Ex: (**direct product**)  $\forall$  groups  $K, L$ ,  $\exists$  a s.e.s.

$$1 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{f} K \times L \xrightarrow{g} L \longrightarrow 1$$

$$k \rightsquigarrow (k, e)$$

$$(k, l) \rightsquigarrow l$$

$$\text{Ker } g = \{(k, l) \mid l = e\} = \{(k, e) \mid k \in K\} = \text{Im } f$$

Ex: (**semidirect product**):  $\forall$  groups  $K, L$ ,  $\forall L \overset{\oplus}{\curvearrowright} K$ ,  $\exists$  s.e.s.

$$1 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{f} K \times L \xrightarrow{g} L \longrightarrow 1$$

$$k \mapsto (k, e)$$

$$(k, l) \mapsto l$$

$$\text{Ker } g = \{(k, l) \mid l = e\} = \{(k, e) \mid k \in K\} = \text{Im } f$$

Why are  $f$  and  $g$  group homomorphisms?

$$(k, l)(k', l') = (k \Phi_e(k'), ll')$$

$$f(k)f(k') = (k, e)(k', e) = (k \Phi_e(k'), ee) = (kk', e) = f(kk')$$

$$g((k, l)(k', l')) = g((k \Phi_e(k'), ll')) = ll' = g((k, l))g((k', l'))$$

Def: Equivalences of s.e.s. (given  $K, L$ )

$$1 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{f} G \xrightarrow{g} L \longrightarrow 1$$

is said to be equivalent to

$$1 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{f'} G' \xrightarrow{g'} L \longrightarrow 1$$

if  $\exists$  homomorphism  $s: G \rightarrow G'$  s.t. the following diagram commutes

$$1 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{f} G \xrightarrow{g} L \longrightarrow 1$$

$$\text{Id}_K \downarrow \quad \square \quad s \downarrow \quad \square \quad \downarrow \text{Id}_L$$

$$I \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{f'} G' \xrightarrow{g'} L \rightarrow I$$

meaning of  $\square$ : composition  $\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \\ & \downarrow \square & \\ & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \end{array}$  = composition  $\begin{array}{ccc} & \downarrow \square & \\ & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \end{array}$ , i.e.  $s \circ f = f'$

meaning of  $\square$ : composition  $\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \\ & \downarrow \square & \\ & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \end{array}$  = composition  $\begin{array}{ccc} & \downarrow \square & \\ & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \end{array}$ , i.e.  $g = g' \circ s$

Why is the above an equivalence relation?

→ reflexive (obvious)

→ symmetric  $\Leftarrow S$  is an isomorphism

→ transitive (easy, think about it)

Proof that  $S$  in  $\begin{array}{ccccccc} I & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{f} & G & \xrightarrow{g} & L \longrightarrow I \\ & & \text{Id}_K \downarrow & \square & S \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \text{Id}_L \\ I & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{f'} & G' & \xrightarrow{g'} & L \longrightarrow I \end{array}$  is an isomorphism

•  $S$  inj: assume  $x \in G$  s.t.  $s(x) = e_{G'}$   $\Rightarrow g(x) \stackrel{\square}{=} g' \circ s(x) = g'(e_{G'}) = e_L$

$\Rightarrow x \in \text{Ker } g = \text{Im } f \Rightarrow \exists k \in K$  s.t.  $x = f(k)$

$\Rightarrow e_{G'} = s(x) = s \circ f(k) \stackrel{\square}{=} f'(k)$

$\Rightarrow k = e_K$  because  $f'$  inj  $\Rightarrow x = f'(e_K) = e_{G'}$ .

•  $S$  surj: take  $x' \in G' \Rightarrow g'(x') \in L \Rightarrow \exists x \in G$  s.t.  $g(x) = g'(x')$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{but then } g'(x' s(x)^{-1}) &= g'(x') g'(s(x))^{-1} \\ &= g'(x') (g' \circ s(x))^{-1} \\ &\stackrel{\square}{=} g'(x') g(x)^{-1} = e_G \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow x' s(x)^{-1} \in \text{Ker } g' = \text{Im } f'$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists k \in K \text{ s.t. } x' s(x)^{-1} = f'(k)$$

$$\Rightarrow x' = f'(k) s(x) \stackrel{\square}{=} s(f(k)) s(x) = s(f(k)x) \in \text{Im } s$$

Big Question: when is a given s.e.s.  $1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow L \rightarrow 1$

equivalent to  $1 \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} K \times L \xrightarrow{\text{projection}} L \rightarrow 1$

or to  $1 \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} K \rtimes L \xrightarrow{\text{projection}} L \rightarrow 1$

for some action  $L \curvearrowright K$

Answer in the following theorems.

Thm 1: a given s.e.s.  $1 \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{f} G \xrightarrow{g} L \rightarrow 1$  is equivalent to

$$1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow K \times L \rightarrow L \rightarrow 1$$

$\Leftrightarrow$   $f$  has a **retraction**, i.e. a homomorphism  $K \xleftarrow{\phi} G$

such that  $\phi \circ f = \text{Id}_K$

Thm 2: a given s.e.s.  $1 \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{f} G \xrightarrow{g} L \rightarrow 1$  is equivalent to

$$1 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow K \times L \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 1$$

for an arbitrary  $L \xrightarrow{\phi} K$

$(\implies)$   $g$  has a **section**, i.e. a homomorphism  $G \xleftarrow{\psi} L$  such that  $g \circ \psi = \text{Id}_L$

Proof of Thm 1: (Thm 2 done in Lecture Notes)

"only if":

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 1 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{f} & G & \longrightarrow & L \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \parallel & \bar{\phi} \searrow & \downarrow s & & \parallel \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & K \times L & \longrightarrow & L \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow k & \text{map } (k, e) & & & \\
 & & K & \xrightarrow{\text{sum}(k, l)} & K \times L & & 
 \end{array}$$

$\implies$   $f$  has a retraction

$$\phi: G \rightarrow K$$

$$\phi := \bar{\phi} \circ s$$

$$\phi \circ f = \bar{\phi} \circ s \circ f = \bar{\phi} \circ \bar{f} = \text{Id}_K.$$

"if" given a retraction

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & f & \\
 K & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G \\
 & \phi & \\
 & \xleftarrow{\quad} & 
 \end{array}
 \implies$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 1 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{f} & G & \xrightarrow{g} & L \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \parallel & \square & \downarrow s & \square & \parallel \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & K \times L & \xrightarrow{\bar{g}} & L \longrightarrow 1
 \end{array}$$

To construct  $s$ , define  $\forall x \in G$   $s(x) = (\phi(x), g(x)) \in K \times L$ ; clearly  $s$  is homomorphism

$\implies$   $s \circ f = \bar{f}$ ; to check this, note that  $\forall k \in K$

$$s(f(k)) = (\phi(f(k)), g(f(k))) = (k, e) = \bar{f}(k)$$

□  $\begin{array}{ccc} \longrightarrow & & \\ \downarrow & = & \downarrow \\ & & \longrightarrow \end{array}$  reads  $\bar{g} \circ s = g$ ; to check this, note that  $\forall x \in G$

$$\bar{g}(s(x)) = \bar{g}(\phi(x), g(x)) = g(x)$$

## The case of abelian groups

recall that  $G$  is called abelian  $\Leftrightarrow xy = yx, \forall x, y \in G$

when  $x$  is abelian we write

- " $X + Y$ " instead of  $xy$
- " $-x$ " instead of  $x^{-1}$
- " $0$ " instead of  $e_G$

moreover,  $0$  will denote the trivial abelian group, even though it is the same thing as the trivial group  $1$

Lemma: if  $L \xrightarrow{\Phi} K$  and  $K, L$  are abelian,

then  $K \rtimes L$  is abelian  $\Leftrightarrow \Phi = \text{trivial action}$

$$\Leftrightarrow K \rtimes L = K \times L$$

Proof:  $(k, l)(k', l') = (k', l')(k, l)$

$$\parallel$$

$$(k \Phi_e(k'), ll')$$

$$\parallel$$

$$(k' \Phi_{e'}(k), ll')$$

so  $\forall k, k' \in K$ , we have  $k \Phi_{e_L}(k') = k' \Phi_{e_L}(k)$   
 $\forall l, l' \in L$

choose  $k' = e_K = "0"$   
 $l = e_L = "0"$

$$k \underbrace{\Phi_{e_L}(e_K)}_{e_K} = e_K \Phi_{e_L}(k)$$

$$\Phi_{e_L}(k) = k, \forall k \in K, \forall l' \in L \Rightarrow \Phi \text{ trivial}$$

by the way, it suffices for  $G$  to be abelian as all subs and quotients of an abelian group (such as  $K$  and  $L$ ) must also be abelian

Corollary: suppose  $0 \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{f} G \xrightarrow{g} L \rightarrow 0$  is a s.e.s. of abelian groups

it is equivalent to  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow K \times L \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0 \iff \exists$  retraction  $K \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \circlearrowleft \\ \xrightarrow{\phi} \end{matrix} G$

it is equivalent to  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow K \times L \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0 \iff \exists$  section  $G \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{g} \\ \circlearrowright \\ \xrightarrow{\psi} \end{matrix} L$

So, in the abelian world,  $\exists$  retraction  $\iff \exists$  section  
 (and when this happens, we call  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$  **split**)

Ex. show that  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$

Ex: show



$$f(k) = nk$$



$$g(x) = x \pmod n$$

is split if  $\gcd(m,n)=1$  (in which case  $\exists a,b$  s.t.  $am+bn=1$ )

Pick  $\phi(x) = xb \pmod m$   
 $\psi(L) = aL$

and let's check they are retraction section

$$\phi \circ f(k) = \phi(nk) = bnk \pmod m = k \pmod m$$

$$g \circ \psi(L) = g(aL) = aL \pmod n = L \pmod n$$

because  $bn = 1 - am$   
 $am = 1 - bn$